

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**OHIOANS AGAINST CORPORATE BAILOUTS, LLC,  
aka OHIOANS AGAINST CORPORATE BAILOUTS, *et al.*,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**FRANK LAROSE, in his official capacity as  
Ohio Secretary of State, *et al.*,**

**Defendants.**

**Case No. 2:19-cv-4466**

**JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.**

**Magistrate Judge Kimberly A. Jolson**

**ORDER**

Pursuant to Rule 9.01 of the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, this Court certifies questions of state law to the Supreme Court of Ohio, as set forth in its October 23, 2019 Opinion & Order. (ECF No. 49.) Pursuant to Rule 9.02 of the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, this Court provides the following information:

**1. Name of the Case**

Ohioans Against Corporate Bailouts, LLC, aka Ohioans Against Corporate Bailouts, *et al.*  
v. Frank LaRose, in his official capacity as Ohio Secretary of State, *et al.*

**2. Factual Background, Procedural History, and Reasons for Certification**

The relevant factual background and procedural history of this case, along with the reasons for certification, are set forth in this Court's October 23, 2019 Opinion & Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Certifying Questions to the Supreme Court of Ohio. (ECF No. 49.) A copy of that Opinion & Order is attached to this Order.

That Opinion & Order denied Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction because it found, at this stage in the proceedings, that Plaintiffs were not likely to succeed on the merits of

their claim of First Amendment infringement based upon the “blackout period” of Ohio Revised Code § 3519.01(B). This Court did not, however, make a final determination on the merits of Plaintiffs’ claims. Instead, the Court found there are questions of Ohio law determinative of these proceedings, and that there is no controlling precedent by the Supreme Court of Ohio. The Supreme Court of Ohio’s resolution of the certified questions, and in particular, the first certified question of “[w]hether the right to referendum set forth in Article II, Section 1 of the Ohio Constitution guarantees those circulating petitions in support of a referendum a full ninety days solely for the purpose of circulating petitions” will be determinative of the final resolution of Plaintiffs’ First Amendment claims and the available relief.

### **3. Questions Certified**

- 1.) Whether the right to referendum set forth in Article II, Section 1 of the Ohio Constitution guarantees those circulating petitions in support of a referendum a full ninety days solely for the purpose of circulating petitions?
- 2.) If so, whether Ohio Rev. Code § 3519.01 violates the Ohio Constitution by shortening the ninety days to accommodate the fair-and-truthful review?
- 3.) If the Ohio Constitution guarantees a petitioner ninety days to circulate petitions, whether the number of days attributable to a petitioner’s own errors in submitting a referendum petition under Ohio Rev. Code § 3519.01 is “credited” to the petitioner, or deducted from the ninety days?
- 4.) Whether a petitioner who does not claim to be “aggrieved” by the fair-and-truthful determination and thus fails to appeal the denial of a certification under Ohio Rev. Code § 3519.01(C) is entitled to credit toward the ninety days for the time spent fixing deficiencies in a fair-and-truthful statement?
- 5.) Whether a petitioner gets credit toward the ninety days for the time before, or between, a petition submission when the Attorney General and Secretary of State do not have a petition to review and consider under Ohio Rev. Code § 3519.01?

### **4. Designation of Moving Party**

This Court designates Defendant Frank LaRose, in his official capacity as Ohio Secretary of State, as the moving party.

## **5. Names of the Parties**

Plaintiffs: Ohioans Against Corporate Bailouts, LLC, aka Ohioans Against Corporate Bailouts; David J. Eckert; Brandon S. Lynaugh; Trevor J. Vessels; and John Doe #1.

Defendants: Frank LaRose, in his official capacity as Ohio Secretary of State; and Zach Klein, in his official capacity as City Attorney of Columbus, Ohio.

## **6. Attorneys' Contact Information**

Plaintiffs are represented by the following counsel:

Curt Carl Hartman (0064242)  
THE LAW FIRM OF CURT C. HARTMAN  
7394 Ridgepoint Drive, Suite 8  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45230  
513-379-2923  
hartmanlawfirm@fuse.net

Christopher P. Finney (0038998)  
Brian C. Shrive (0088980)  
FINNEY LAW FIRM, LLC  
4270 Ivy Pointe Boulevard, Ste 225  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45245  
513-943-6650  
chris@finneylawfirm.com  
brian@finneylawfirm.com

Patrick M. Quinn (0081692)  
BRUNNER QUINN  
35 North Fourth Street, Suite 200  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
614-241-5550  
pmq@brunnerlaw.com

David R. Langdon (0067046)  
Joseph A. Vanderhulst\*  
LANGDON LAW LLC  
8913 Cincinnati-Dayton Rd.  
West Chester, Ohio 45069  
513-577-7380  
dlangdon@langdonlaw.com  
joseph@langdonlaw.com  
*\*admitted pro hac vice*

Defendant Frank LaRose is represented by the following counsel:

Bridget C. Coontz (0072919)  
Ann Yackshaw (0090623)  
Assistant Attorneys General  
Constitutional Offices Section  
Ohio Attorney General Dave Yost  
30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
614-466-2872  
Bridget.Coontz@ohioattorneygeneral.gov  
Ann.Yackshaw@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Defendant Zach Klein is represented by the following counsel:

Andrew D.M. Miller (0074515)  
Lara N. Baker-Morrish (0063721)  
Assistant City Attorneys  
CITY OF COLUMBUS, DEPARTMENT OF LAW  
ZACH KLEIN, CITY ATTORNEY  
77 North Front Street, Fourth Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
614-645-7385  
admmiller@columbus.gov  
lnbaker@columbus.gov

In accordance with Rule 9.03 of the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, the Court **DIRECTS** Mr. Richard W. Nagel, Clerk of Court for the United States District Court, Southern District of Ohio, to serve copies of this Order and attachment upon counsel for the parties and to file this Order and attachment under the seal of this court with the Supreme Court of Ohio.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10-24-2019  
DATE

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE